Modeling and Experiment Reveal Structure and Nanomechanics across the Inverse Temperature Transition in B. mori Silk-Elastin-like Protein Polymers
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ABSTRACT: Silk and elastin are exemplary protein materials that exhibit exceptional material properties. Silk is uniquely strong, surpassing engineering materials such as Kevlar and steel, while elastin has exquisite flexibility and can reversibly fold into a more structured form at high temperatures when many other proteins would unfold and denature. This phenomenon in elastin is termed the inverse temperature transition. It is a reversible, controllable process that motivates applications in drug delivery, shape change materials, and biomimetic devices. Silk-elastinlike protein polymers (SELPs), which combine repeating B. mori silk and elastin blocks, have been introduced as biologically inspired materials that combine the distinctive properties of the component parts to achieve strong and extensible, tunable biomaterials. Here, we considered a single SELP sequence to examine temperature transition effects at the molecular scale. SELP molecular models were created using Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics, an accelerated sampling method, and confirmed in experiment by comparing secondary structure distributions. A molecular collapse of the SELP molecule was observed with increased temperature in both molecular simulation and experiment. Temperature-specific differences were observed in the mechanical properties and the unfolding pathways of the polypeptide. Using the Bell–Evans model, we analyzed the free energy landscape associated with molecular unfolding at temperatures below and above the transition temperature range \( (T_c) \) of the polypeptide. We found that at physiological pulling rates, the energy barrier to unfold SELPs was counterintuitively higher above \( T_c \). Our findings offer a foundational perspective on the molecular scale mechanisms of temperature-induced phase transition in SELPs, and suggest a novel approach to combine simulation and experiment to study materials for multifunctional biomimetic applications.
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INTRODUCTION

Interest in responsive, tunable, nature-inspired biomaterials has seen a tremendous rise in recent years. In particular, silk-elastin-like protein polymers (SELPs) have gained attention as bioinspired composites for their biocompatibility, degradability and stimuli-responsive tunability.1−5 In the past two decades, genetically engineered SELPs were shown to be quite versatile. SELPs can be processed in a variety of ways: as nanoparticles, films, nanofibers, thin coatings, hydrogels and scaffolds, providing a diverse set of structures for material applications.3,4,6−10 These applications include biosensors, tissue engineering, targeted drug delivery release systems, gene therapy, and nanocarriers11−15 among others.

SELPs are composed of alternating silklike and elastinlike domains, combining the properties of the component parts. Silklike domains (GAGAGS) mimic the Bombyx mori silkworm silk sequence. They assemble into tightly packed structures and provide stability and mechanical resilience. Elastinlike pentapeptide domains (GXGVP) are representative of the elastin protein sequence and exhibit an inverse temperature transition, modulated by changing the second X residue of the pentapeptide. SELPs combine the mechanical strength, resilience and self-assembling properties inherent to silk together with tunable mechanics derived from the elastin domains, which in physiological conditions exhibit reversible
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sensitivity to stimuli, including temperature, pH, ionic strength, electric fields, and enzymes. By combining silklike and elastinlike domains, SELPs achieve useful mechanical properties and discrete tunability.

Though a number of studies have considered the self-assembly, morphological diversity, and biomedical applications of SELPs, a precise understanding of SELP behavior at the molecular scale is still missing. It is well-known that elastinlike peptides (ELPs) undergo a temperature-modulated reversible phase transition, which is governed by environmental factors and the chemistry of the elastin sequence, in particular the X residue.16−29 Below the transition temperature, ELPs are soluble in aqueous solution. Above transition temperature, ELPs undergo a structural transition to a contracted, aggregated state. Several simulation and experimental studies have addressed the molecular scale transitions of elastinlike peptides.21,30−35 Likewise, silk protein has been scrutinized through a series of molecular models.36−38 In the present study, we derive inspiration from silk and elastin models to create the first SELP molecular model. We use the model to identify thermally stimulated structural transitions and temperature effects on molecular unfolding pathways and mechanical signatures. We combine molecular modeling and experiments based on a recombinantly synthesized SELP sequence to probe the molecular scale temperature transition effects and single-molecule mechanical responses to thermal stimulation of the [(GVGVP)₄(GYGVP)₃(GAGAGS)]₄₄ sequence and the GXGVP pentapeptide repeat unit is traditionally considered in simulation and experiment.

In this work, we use steered molecular dynamics (SMD) to apply an external force on SELP molecules at two different temperatures, below and above the transition temperature range. Using SMD, we can probe the mechanical functions at the single molecule scale and observe the unfolding process. We employ the Bell−Evans model to study the free energy associated with the molecular unfolding pathway at different temperatures in order to differentiate between thermal effects and temperature-induced structural changes that cause mechanical variation in SELPs. A mechanism to understand temperature-dependent mechanics is proposed.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Molecular Simulation Setup.** The SELP sequence was constructed from elastin and silk blocks, where the elastin block is GXGVP and the silk block is GAGAGS, in single amino acid letter code. X is an interchangeable amino acid responsible for shifting the transition temperature of elastin. Elastin has a highly repetitive sequence and the GXGVP pentapeptide repeat unit is traditionally used as a representative for an elastinlike polymer. The GAGAGS block is representative of B. mori silk. Eight elastin blocks and one silk block were used to construct the sequence studied here. The polypeptide is a 14-mer alternating silk-elastin chain, having the sequence [(GVGVP)₄(GYGVP)₃(GAGAGS)]₄. Identical sequences are considered in simulation and experiment.

Extended straight chain conformations of the sequence were built using CHARMM version 35b1.39 The structure was first relaxed to ensure no steric clashes using energy minimization through the steepest descent algorithm. This initial structure was used for input into Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics (REMD) simulation in implicit solvent.

**Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics in Implicit Solvent.** Following sequence construction, Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics simulations were carried out in the canonical ensemble. Replica Exchange integrates Monte Carlo exchanges into a classical molecular dynamics simulation scheme, thereby improving sampling. Identical systems were simulated through a range of temperatures. High temperatures allow for wide conformational space sampling, avoiding local free energy minima, whereas frequent exchanges ensure wide sampling across the temperature range.

The exchange probability $p$ between two replicas $i$ and $j$, with temperatures $T_i$ and $T_j$ and energies $E_i$ and $E_j$, respectively, is

$$p = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } \Delta \leq 0 \\
\exp(-\Delta/kT) & \text{for } \Delta > 0 
\end{cases}$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{kT_i} - \frac{1}{kT_j}(E_j - E_i)$$

Twenty-four temperature replicas were created and exponentially distributed in the temperature range 280 to 480 K (7 to 207 °C). A total of 120,000 exchanges were attempted every 0.5 ps to allow for system relaxation. The protein structure's full equilibration is ensured before the end of this long REMD simulation. A 2 fs time step was used. The 15% exchange acceptance rate between replicas was sufficient for adequate sampling to take place. An ensemble of structures from the last 1000 exchanges at the lowest temperature replica was analyzed. Clusters based on mutual similarity by root-mean-square deviation (3 Å) were created with the K-means clustering algorithm in the MMTSB toolset. The lowest-energy representative structure in the most populated cluster was selected. Simulations were carried out with the CHARMM19 all-atom energy function with the EEF1 force field with a Gaussian effective solvent energy function.39,42

**Visualization of protein structures was performed with Visual Molecular Dynamics.43**

**Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics in Explicit Solvent.** After the first set of implicit solvent REMD simulations, the representative structure was placed into an explicit water box to continue structural refinement. Simulation in implicit solvent greatly speeds up the computational time required and serves as an acceptable first approximation for structural prediction. We conducted further refinement using a more precise explicit solvent model to correct for local structural approximations. An accurate description of the solvent is required for consideration of structural transition effects. It has been shown abundantly in literature that elastinlike peptides and elastin-containing composite materials undergo structural transitions only in the presence of water. As such, an explicit solvent model is essential for this study.

All subsequent simulations were carried out using GROMACS version 5.0.35 The molecular was placed into a rectangular water box with periodic boundary conditions. The protein and water system contains approximately 200,000 atoms. The CHARMM27 force field is used, which includes CHARMM22 and CMAP for proteins.35 The structure was then minimized through the steepest descent algorithm.

Next, solvent was equilibrated around the protein, while the protein was fixed, through two equilibration stages, each 100 ps in length, with a time step of 1 fs. The first phase was equilibration in an NVT ensemble to stabilize temperature, followed by a second stage in an NPT ensemble to stabilize system pressure. After the solvent was equilibrated, the protein restraint was removed and the protein and solvent were equilibrated in an NPT ensemble for an additional 100 ps.

After this stage, final structures were inputted into Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics simulations. The Berendsen thermostat46 was used for temperature coupling and the Parrinello−Rahman barostat47 was used for pressure coupling. The LINCS algorithm was used to constrain covalent bonds with hydrogen atoms. The short-range electrostatic interactions and Lennard-Jones interactions were evaluated with a cutoff of 10 Å. Particle-mesh Ewald summation46 was used to calculate long-range electrostatic interactions with a grid spacing of 1.6 Å and a fourth order interolation.

For each system, 120 temperature replicas were used, exponentially distributed from 280 to 400 K (7 to 27°C). Each replica was simulated for 20 ns, for a total simulation time of 2.4 μs across all temperatures. A 2 fs time step was used. Exchanges were attempted after 2 ps equilibration runs, and were accepted according to the Metropolis criterion. Exchange acceptance ratios were between 20 and...
30%, signifying sufficient sampling. Representative structures were determined by analyzing the ensemble of structures in the final 2 ns of each replica. K-means clustering was used to group structures into clusters according to root-mean-square deviation of 1 Å for the low-temperature replica at 280 K (7°C) and for the high-temperature replica at 330 K (57°C). Representative structures with lowest potential energy were chosen from most populated clusters. Analysis of representative structures was carried out using the MMTSB script package.

Steered Molecular Dynamics Simulation. Steered molecular dynamics simulations were conducted at four pulling speeds: 20, 30, 40, and 50 m/s for structures at temperatures 7°C and 57°C. For each simulation, a single α carbon was fixed at the C terminal, as the structure was pulled by a single α carbon of the N terminal, in the direction of the principal axis. A spring constant of 1000 kJ/mol nm was used. Force–extension curves were calculated from the forces applied, and distances were computed from the center of mass of the protein structure. All analysis was done using in-house TCL and Matlab scripts. All simulations were completed using the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE).

Analysis of Molecular Structures. Protein secondary structure was computed using the DSSP algorithm. Hydrogen bonds were determined using a geometric definition, with the donor–hydrogen–acceptor angle of 30 degrees and a cutoff distance of 0.35 nm between the donor and the acceptor. Secondary structure and solvent accessible surface area analysis was done using Gromacs analysis tools and in-house scripts. Hydrogen bond analysis and visualization of molecular models was performed using VMD 1.9.1 and in-house TCL and Matlab scripts.

Synthesis of Polymers. SELP genes and expression plasmids were constructed using our previously established procedures. The purity of the proteins was monitored via SDS-PAGE, and the molecular weights of the proteins were determined by MALDI-TOF (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA).

UV–Vis Spectrophotometry. The turbidity profiles of 1 mg/mL SELP aqueous solution were obtained by an Aviv 14DS UV–vis spectrophotometer equipped with a Peltier temperature controller.
(Aviv Biomedical, Lakewood, NJ). Quartz cuvettes with 1 mm path length were used. Temperature scans were performed at 350 nm from 0 to 100 °C at a rate of 2 °C/min and then cooled to 0 °C at the same rate. Absorbance readings were taken after equilibrating the SELP solution at each temperature for 30 s. The averaging time of each measurement was 10 s per step. The baseline scans were taken with the solvent and cuvette under the same condition and subtracted from the sample scans.

**Circular Dichroism.** Circular Dichroism (CD) spectra of 0.1 mg/mL SELP aqueous solutions were obtained on an Aviv model 62DS spectrophotometer equipped with a Peltier temperature controller (Aviv Biomedical, Lakewood, NJ). Quartz cuvettes with 1 mm path length were used. All samples were filtered through 0.2 μm Millipore syringe filters (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) before measurement. SELP solutions (0.2 mg/mL) were stabilized at each temperature for 10 min prior to measurement. To obtain the deconvolution of CD spectra was performed using DICROWEB.54

**Dynamic Light Scattering.** Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) was carried out on a DynaPro Titan instrument (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA) equipped with a temperature controller. Quartz cuvettes with 1 mm path length were used. All samples were filtered through 0.2 μm Millipore syringe filters (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) before measurement. SELP solutions (0.2 mg/mL) were stabilized at each temperature for 10 min prior to measurement. To obtain the hydrodynamic radii, the intensity autocorrelation functions were analyzed using the Dynamics software (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA).

**Preparation of SELP Hydrogels.** SELP hydrogels were fabricated using our established procedure.3,5 Briefly, the lyophilized SELP powder was dissolved in deionized water at 4 °C for 4 h to form a 10% SELP stock solution. Then, 6 μL of 40 mg/mL horseradish peroxidase (HRP) stock solution was first added to 100 μL 10% SELP stock solution, and then mixed with 0.2 μL of 30 wt % H2O2 solution to initiate the cross-linking reaction. The mixture was incubated at 4 °C overnight to form SELP hydrogels.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Temperature-Induced Structural Contraction in Silk-Elastin-Like Protein Polymers Across Length Scales.** Silk-elastin-like protein polymers, based on the amino acid sequence [(GVGVP)_4(GYGVP) (GVGVP)_3(GAGAGS)]_{14} (Figure 1a), were synthesized using recombinant DNA technology. The turbidity profiles for 1 mg/mL of the SELP aqueous solution structures displayed a temperature transition range between 28 and 45 °C, henceforth referred to as Tt (Figure 1b). To distinguish structures below and above transition range, we considered two systems: at 7 °C and 57 °C, well below and above Tt. Below the transition, at 7 °C, the SELP solution appears transparent. As the temperature was raised above the transition for the polymer, to 57 °C, the SELP solution becomes cloudy, indicative of the phase transition taking place (Figure 1c).

To capture this behavior at the nanoscale, SELP molecular structures were predicted using a series of Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics simulations (Figure 1d, SI Figure 1). At the single-molecule scale, the transition occurs as a gradual structural change, manifested by a decreasing radius of gyration with temperature (SI Figure 2). Both the molecular models and the synthesized polypeptides exhibit up to 90% of unordered secondary structure with minimal β sheet and helical content (SI Figure 3), consistent with the high content of elastinlike sequence within the polymer. A comparison between representative structures at 7 °C and 57 °C showed a structural collapse at high temperature as the structure bent across the principal axis and assumed a more compact conformation (Figure 1d). Measurement of the end-to-end distance confirmed a reduced molecular size (Figure 1e).

A similar trend to a compacted structure with increasing temperature was observed in the synthesized polymer by measuring the hydrodynamic radius (Rh) of the SELP free chain. Rh at temperatures below and above Tt was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS). DLS measurement at 7 °C and 57 °C displayed a reduction in the Rh of the free chain from 3.8 ± 0.6 nm to 1.4 ± 0.2 nm (Figure 1f). Such drastic reduction in molecular size suggested that the free chains of the SELP folded at high temperature above Tt, leading to a decrease of the overall size of the SELP free chains.

In this system, elastinlike and silklike blocks are interspersed in the molecule, with elastin dominating the molecule for a silk to elastin ratio of 1:8. Though volume was conserved at the single molecule scale, and solvent accessible surface area was reduced by a mere 3% at high temperature, there was a 15% increase in the number of hydrogen bonds present in the molecule, and a 30% reduction in the end-to-end distance due to the distinct bend in the molecule at high temperature. We found that a synergistic structural folding was prompted by the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds.

At the macroscale, a corresponding behavior was observed in silk-elastin hydrogels that were fabricated and tested at different temperatures. A shrinkage of 57% in the hydrogel radius was measured between 7 °C and 57 °C (Figure 1g). We found that the structural collapse observed at the single molecule scale was propagated up to the macroscale. We propose that the packing geometry of SELP molecules in the hydrogel ultimately propels the shrinking phenomenon, causing structural reorganization at the molecular scale.

**Temperature-Dependent Molecular Unfolding.** We considered the unfolding pathway for representative SELP structures below and above Tt at 7 and 57 °C, respectively, using steered molecular dynamics simulations. Molecules were fixed at the C terminal, and loaded in tension at the N terminal (Figure 2a, b). Force–extension curves for a pulling speed of 50 m/s at the two temperatures are shown in Figure 2c. The evolution of SMD unfolding was examined at slower pulling speeds between 0.1 and 0.5 m/s. Force–extension curves for a pulling speed of 50 m/s at the two temperatures are shown in Figure 2c. The evolution of SMD unfolding was examined at slower pulling speeds between 0.1 and 0.5 m/s. Force–extension curves for a pulling speed of 50 m/s at the two temperatures are shown in Figure 2c.
speeds (20, 30, and 40 m/s) to ensure that the unfolding behavior remained unchanged and was independent of the pulling speed, to avoid artificial results (Figure S4). We note that the curves had the same general shape, indicative of a consistent transition pathway at different pulling speeds. Yet, deformation behavior was drastically different at low and high temperatures (Figure 2c). We examined various deformation regimes to shed light on the mechanisms driving the divergence in mechanical signature at different temperatures (boxes i—iv in Figure 2).

At 7 °C, the mechanical response included a steep linear regime followed by a plateau, compared with a gentle linear slope at 57 °C (Figure 2c). The unraveling of the high temperature structure revealed a smooth unfolding mechanism (Figure 3). The molecule unraveled from a single cluster, uniformly like a ball of yarn, corresponding to a linear deformation regime. By contrast, as the low temperature
structure unfolded, a plateau in the force–displacement appeared at a displacement of about 140 nm (Figure 4). A series of smaller clusters detached from the main densely folded region as the molecule was pulled. These clusters act to dissipate the force, resisting the pulling, and produced the plateau that differentiates the low and high temperature deformation curves.

To understand the internal molecular landscape through the unfolding process, we considered the intramolecular hydrogen bond evolution at high and low temperature (7 and 57 °C, respectively). Notably, the number of hydrogen bonds that exists within the SELP molecule was higher by 15% at high temperature and this difference persisted through two-thirds of the unfolding stages (Figure 5). This observation is counter-intuitive, as higher temperature is expected to more easily disrupt weak intramolecular hydrogen bonds. We attribute this surprising behavior to the presence of elastinlike segments, which are known to assume a folded, hydrogen-bond-rich conformation above $T_t$. The enhanced hydrogen bonding at high temperature may help to maintain a large, uniform region in the unfolding pathway. Fewer hydrogen bonds at low temperature create a less compact structure that permits the separation of small, independent clusters as the molecule experiences a pulling force.

Further evidence of this mechanism lies in the observation that at the displacement of approximately 130 nm, as the number of hydrogen bonds converges to the same value in both the high and low temperature structures (Figure 5), the force–displacement curves become parallel (Figure 2c). This suggests that the hydrogen bond distribution within the molecule directly determined the unfolding pathway of the molecule. A corresponding trend was observed in the secondary structure evolution as the molecule unfolded, naturally related to the hydrogen bonding patterns in the molecule across different temperatures (Figure S5). Ordered secondary structure content, namely beta and helical structure, characterized by dense hydrogen bonding, was slightly greater through the unfolding pathway for the high temperature molecule (Figure S5a). Consistently, an unordered secondary structure, defined as turns and bends having a single or no hydrogen bonds, was lower throughout the deformation for the high-temperature structure (Figure S5b). On the basis of these observations, we propose that the unique behavior of elastinlike segments can control the molecular deformation regime that may be tuned to extreme precision. Despite this observation, there is a need to decouple the effect of elastin structural transition from a temperature effect, which is addressed later.

Before addressing the question of decoupling elastin structure and temperature-specific effects, we considered

![Figure 5](image_url)  
**Figure 5.** | Hydrogen bond evolution at 7 and 57 °C during SMD pulling.

![Figure 6](image_url)  
**Figure 6.** | Unfolding snapshots for region (iii) from Figure 2, at 7 °C. Structures correspond to displacements indicated by numbered arrows on the force–displacement plot, in increasing displacement order. Scale bar: 15 Å.
additional regions in the deformation pathway. There exists a divergence in the deformation regime at high and low temperature between 25 and 35 nm extension (Figures 6 and 7). Up to that point, the molecule unraveled smoothly at 7°C, evocative of the deformation observed in the linear regime at large deformation at 57°C previously discussed, albeit less smooth. The minor kinks observed in the initial deformation correspond to small, irregular changes in the bulk of the molecule as it began to unfold. Beyond this, minor plateaus, such as that at 23 nm for the molecule at 7°C, represent small clusters breaking off the molecule’s main fold (Figure 6). By contrast, the high-temperature molecule began to unravel by unlocking its natural bend and extending through the principal axis of the molecule (Figure 7). Variable kinks were found in this initial regime. At 23 nm, as the molecule began to divide at its center into two clusters, there was a softening in the force–displacement curve compared with the low-temperature deformation. At 38 nm, the split between the two halves of the molecule was apparent and the divergence between low- and high-temperature deformation curves increased further, establishing two distinct deformation pathways for the molecule below and above $T_c$.

Remarkably, the clustering phenomenon during unfolding occurred at both low and high temperature producing the identical effect of dissipating the tensile force. At 57°C, at low displacement, the large breakaway cluster that spans almost half of the molecule’s length softened the deformation (Figure 7). At 7°C, clustering occurred at higher extension, because of a reduced network of hydrogen bonds keeping the structure intact (Figure 4). At 57°C, a denser network of hydrogen bonds resisted breakaway clusters upon extension, creating linear deformation (Figure 3). Once both molecules have unraveled fully, at approximately 230 nm, the stretch of the backbone resulted in significant stiffening of the force–displacement curve. Similarly, after the large breakaway cluster at high temperature has unraveled fully, there was a modest stiffening of the high temperature curve at 113 nm, indicative of backbone stretching (Figure 8).

**Theoretical Model for Protein Unfolding Mechanics at Different Temperatures.** We used the Bell–Evans model\(^{55}\)
to compare the free energy landscape associated with SELP unfolding at high and low temperature. This approach decouples the effect of temperature and the structure-specific contributions to the unfolding pathway. In the original Bell–Evans model,\textsuperscript{85} which was developed to investigate the unfolding force (or total work) of a protein as a function of many physiological variables that relate to protein folding and unfolding,\textsuperscript{86–89} the off-rate is defined as

$$\chi = a_0 \exp \left( -\frac{E - w}{k_B T} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

The off-rate describes how often a bond is broken per unit time. It is a function of the thermal fluctuation and external work. Here, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is ensemble temperature, $E$ is the energy barrier to overcome bond breaking, $w$ is the external work, and $a_0$ is the natural vibrational frequency. We incorporated the relation to the pulling speed by considering the distance, $x_p$, that needs to be overcome for a bond to break. The pulling speed $v = \chi x_p$ is given as

$$v = B \exp \left( -\frac{E - w}{k_B T} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here, $B$ is the product of the natural frequency $a_0$ and distance $x_p$. We extended the application of the Bell–Evans model to investigate the unfolding of the entire folded protein structure under external force. Through this model we could solve for the energy barrier that reveals the protein’s thermal stability. Our results agreed with experiments obtained from the thermal denaturation process.\textsuperscript{57} We express the energy associated with structural unfolding as a function of the pulling speed $v$ and temperature $T$

$$E(v, T) = w - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{v}{B} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

We can calculate the external work applied on the structure based on simulation results as

$$w(L) = \int_{L_0}^{L} f \, da$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

by considering that the pulling force $f$ is always in the direction of extension, where $L_0$ is the initial end-to-end length and $L$ is the contour length once the polymer is fully unfolded, before the strain-stiffening region when the protein backbone begins to stretch.

We considered the unfolding behavior at four pulling speeds: 20, 30, 40, and 50 m/s and two temperatures: 7 and 57 °C. We determined the relationship between energy for different pulling speeds at the same temperature by solving a series of eqs (eq 7). Energy $E(v, T)$ and work $w(v, T)$ are functions of pulling speed $v$ and temperature $T$ in the following set of equations

$$\begin{align*}
E(30, 7) - E(20, 7) &= w(30, 7) - w(20, 7) - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{30}{20} \right) \\
E(40, 7) - E(20, 7) &= w(40, 7) - w(20, 7) - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{40}{20} \right) \\
E(50, 7) - E(20, 7) &= w(50, 7) - w(20, 7) - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{50}{20} \right)
\end{align*}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Simplifying,

$$\begin{align*}
E(30, 7) - E(20, 7) &= w(30, 7) - w(20, 7) - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{30}{20} \right) \\
E(40, 7) - E(20, 7) &= w(40, 7) - w(20, 7) - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{40}{20} \right) \\
E(50, 7) - E(20, 7) &= w(50, 7) - w(20, 7) - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{50}{20} \right)
\end{align*}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

From eq 8, incorporating the numerical values of $w(v, T)$ obtained from simulations, we get

$$\begin{align*}
E(50, 7) &= E(20, 7) + 1.0443 \times 10^{-15} J \\
E(40, 7) &= E(20, 7) + 7.4255 \times 10^{-16} J \\
E(30, 7) &= E(20, 7) + 3.7885 \times 10^{-16} J \\
E(20, 7) &= E(20, 7) J
\end{align*}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Plotting the above energy values and fitting a linear function (Figure 9), we found the associated energy barrier at 7 °C

$$E(v, T = 7^\circ C) = 1.138 \times 10^{-15} \ln \left( \frac{v}{20} \right) + E(v = 20 \text{ m/s}, T = 7^\circ C)$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Similarly, we can find the relationship for the energy at 57 °C:

$$\begin{align*}
E(50, 57) &= E(20, 57) + 4.8508 \times 10^{-16} J \\
E(40, 57) &= E(20, 57) + 3.3884 \times 10^{-16} J \\
E(30, 57) &= E(20, 57) + 1.7881 \times 10^{-16} J \\
E(20, 57) &= E(20, 57) J
\end{align*}$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

After the linear fit (Figure 9), the energy barrier function at 57 °C is
We calculated the difference in energy at pulling speed 20 m/s between 7 and 57 °C by considering the work to unfold the structure as given by eq 5. We can neglect the final terms when we compute the energy difference because $k_B T$ is at least 4 orders of magnitude smaller than $w(v, T)$. Thus, the difference in energy can be simplified as

$$E(20, 57) - E(20, 7) = w(20, 57) - w(20, 7)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

The relationship between energy at high and low temperature becomes

$$E(57°C) - E(7°C) = -6.1240 \times 10^{-16} \ln \left( \frac{v}{20} \right) - 6.5324 \times 10^{-16}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

We note that the difference $E(57°C) - E(7°C)$ is positive for all values of $v < 6.9$ m/s, which is relevant to physiological loading conditions (Figure 10). Therefore, the energy barrier to unfold the structure at 57 °C was greater than the energy barrier at 7 °C. This result is counterintuitive because considering exclusively thermal effects we would expect the structure to be more easily perturbed at high temperature. However, we show that due to the presence of elastinlike domains, the molecule’s structure assumed a folded, densely hydrogen-bonded shape. Hydrogen bonds persisted through the unfolding of the structure, creating a higher free energy barrier for the protein to unfold. Moreover, such a result agreed with the worm-like-chain model where the unfolding force is proportional to the temperature, suggesting that the amorphous structure of elastin affects the unfolding process to behave as an unraveling of a loose polymer structure.

The approaches and results identified here reside within single molecule chain dynamics. As next steps, the goal is to build upon these approaches with inputs of multiple chains and more complex material outcomes; for example, the assembly and packing of single molecule SELPs into micellar and hydrogel superstructures and the formation and dynamics of hydrogel systems. Such material systems will propel the insights from single molecules toward higher-order systems, providing further utility to predictive outcomes of structure—function for these types of bioengineered protein materials. This could serve as a foundational tool for materials-by-design approaches with numerous applications in biomaterials technologies and beyond.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Using a combination of experimental, modeling, and theoretical methods, we studied the structure and nanomechanics of SELPs at temperatures below and above the temperature transition range. Our results demonstrated that at the single-molecule scale, temperature induces a collapse of the SELP structure. A characteristic molecular bend was observed, accompanied by a dense formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds. DLS results confirmed the model’s predictions, showing a distinct reduction in hydrodynamic radius of the molecule at high temperature. This result was propagated to the hydrogel level, where temperature induced the hydrogel to shrink. This phenomenon was in agreement with the behavior of elastinlike peptide systems, where structural molecular folding characterizes phase transitions. We found, furthermore, that nanomechanics of SELPs were highly temperature dependent, identifying specific mechanisms through which the molecule unfolded upon application of external force. At experimentally relevant pulling speeds, the free energy barrier at high temperature exceeded that at lower temperature. This result highlighted the structural role in the mechanics of unfolding in SELPs.
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**Figure 9.** Energy at 7 and 57 °C for pulling speeds 20, 30, 40, and 50 m/s, with linear fits.

**Figure 10.** The energy difference between high and low temperature $E(57°C) - E(7°C)$ as a function of pulling speed $v$. 

$$E(v, T = 57°C) = 5.256 \times 10^{-16} \ln \left( \frac{v}{20} \right) + E(v = 20 m/s, T = 57 °C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$
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